arXiv:1410.1110v1 [math.AP] 5 Oct 2014

Vanishing Pressure Limit of Solutions to the Aw-Rascle Model for Modified Chaplygin gas *

Jinhuan Wang Jinjing Liu Hanchun Yang

Department of Mathematics, Yunnan University, Kunming, Yunnan 650091, P.R.China

Abstract: This paper analyzes the vanishing pressure limit of solutions to the Aw-Rascle model and the perturbed Aw-Rascle model for modified Chaplygin gas. Firstly, the Riemann problem of the Aw-Rascle model is solved constructively. A special delta shock wave in the limit of Riemann solutions is obtained. Secondly, the Riemann problem of the perturbed Aw-Rascle model is solved analytically. It is proved that, as the pressure tends to zero, any Riemann solution containing two shock wave tends to a delta shock solution to the transport equations; any Riemann solution containing two rarefaction wave tends to a two-contact-discontinuity solution to the transport equations and the nonvacuum intermediate state in between tends to a vacuum state.

Keywords: Aw-Rascle model; Transport equations; Modified Chaplygin gas; Delta shock waves; Vacuum states; Numerical simulations.

1. Introduction

The Aw-Rascle model of traffic flow reads

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + (\rho u)_x = 0, \\ \big(\rho(u+P)\big)_t + \big(\rho u(u+P)\big)_x = 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $\rho \geq 0$ and $u \geq 0$ represent the traffic density and velocity, respectively. P is the velocity offset and called as the "pressure" inspired from gas dynamics. The system (1.1) was proposed by Aw and Rascle [1] to remedy the deficiencies of second order models of car traffic pointed out by Daganzo [2] and had also been independently derived by Zhang [3]. As a macroscopic system, (1.1) is widely used to study the formation and dynamics of traffic jams. The Riemann solutions of (1.1) with the classical pressure

$$P(\rho) = \rho^\gamma, \gamma > 0 \tag{1.2}$$

were obtained at low densities in [1]. Lebacque, Mammar and Salem [4] solved the Riemann problem of (1.1) and (1.2) with extended fundamental diagram (equilibrium speed-density relationship) for all possible initial data. Shen and Sun [5] considered (1.1) with a perturbed pressure term

$$P(\rho) = \varepsilon\rho^\gamma, \varepsilon > 0. \tag{1.3}$$

* Supported by the NSF of China (11361073).

The formal limit of (1.1) with (1.3) when $\varepsilon \to 0$ is the transport equations

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + (\rho u)_x = 0, \\ (\rho u)_t + (\rho u^2)_x = 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

also called the one-dimensional system of pressureless Euler equations which has been studied and analyzed extensively since 1994. With radon measure as initial data, Bouchut [6] first presented an explicit formula of the Riemann solution and checked the solution satisfying (1.4) in the sense of measure. E, Rykov and Sinai [7] discussed the behaviour of global weak solutions with random initial data. Sheng and Zhang [8] completely solved the 1-D and 2-D Riemann problems of (1.4). It has been shown that delta shock waves and vacuum states appear in Riemann solutions of (1.4). As for delta shock waves, we refer to [9, 10, 11, 12, 13], etc.

For the researches of the delta shocks, one very interesting topic is to analyze the formation of delta shock waves and vacuum states in solutions. In [14], Chen and Liu considered the limit behaviour of Riemann solutions to the Euler equations of isentropic gas dynamics for polytropic gas pressure $p(\rho) = \rho^\gamma/\gamma$ with $\gamma > 1$ as the pressure vanishes. Further, they generalized this result to the nonisentropic fluids in [15]. Specially, Li [16] studied the pressure vanishing limit of solutions to the isentropic Euler equations for polytropic gas pressure when $\gamma = 1$. For the related work, readers can see [17] for the relativistic Euler equations for polytropic gas by Yin and Sheng, [18, 19] for the isentropic Euler equations for modified Chaplygin gas by Yang and Wang, and [20] for the Aw-Rascle model as the modified Chaplygin gas pressure tends to the Chaplygin gas pressure by Cheng and Yang, etc.

In [5], the authors analyzed the limits of Riemann solutions of (1.1) with (1.3) when $\varepsilon \to 0$. From their results, one can see that the delta shock wave in the limit of Riemann solutions dose not converge to that of (1.4). Recently, Pan and Han [21] took the pressure

$$P(\rho) = -\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho} \tag{1.5}$$

for a Chaplygin gas in (1.1). They proved that the limits of Riemann solutions of (1.1) with (1.5) are those of (1.4) when $\varepsilon \to 0$.

In the present paper, we pay attention to the following pressure for the modified Chaplygin gas

$$P(\rho) = A\rho - \frac{B}{\rho^\alpha}, \ (0 < \alpha \leq 1), \tag{1.6}$$

where parameters A, B and α are positive constants. Clearly, when $A = 0$, (1.6) corresponds to the generalized Chaplygin gas [22, 23], and if in addition $\alpha = 1$, it becomes the Chaplygin gas which was introduced by Chaplygin [24] as a suitable mathematical approximation for calculating the lifting force on a wing of an airplane in aerodynamics. For Chaplygin gas, we refer to [25, 26, 27, 28]. If $B = 0$, (1.6) is just the standard equation of state of perfect fluid. The modified Chaplygin gas interpolates between Chaplygin gas fluids at low energy densities and standard fluids at high energy densities. It was introduced by Benaoum [29, 30] to describe the current accelerated expansion of the universe at large cosmological scales and to use it as a suitable kind of candidates of dark energy. Thus (1.1) with (1.6) may model the

motion process of the microscopic particles in the universe. Obviously, when $A, B \to 0$, (1.1) with (1.6) also formally become the transport equations.

In this paper, we are concerned with limits of Riemann solutions of (1.1) with (1.6) as the parameters $A, B \to 0$. We prove that a special delta shock wave satisfying a special δ-entropy condition develops in the limit of Riemann solutions of (1.1) with (1.6) as the parameters $A, B \to 0$. This delta shock wave is not exactly that of (1.4).

In order to solve it, we introduce a perturbations to model (1.1) with (1.6) such that it becomes the following perturbed Aw-Rascle model

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + (\rho u)_x = 0, \\ \left(\rho\Big(u + \dfrac{A}{2}\rho - \dfrac{1}{1-\alpha}\dfrac{B}{\rho^\alpha}\Big)\right)_t + \left(\rho u\Big(u + A\rho - \dfrac{B}{\rho^\alpha}\Big)\right)_x = 0, \end{cases} \qquad 0 < \alpha < 1. \tag{1.7}$$

It is proved that the delta shock wave and vacuum state appear in the limits of Riemann solutions of (1.7) when $A, B \to 0$, which are exactly the solutions to the transport equations. It is also noticed that (1.7) fails as $\alpha = 1$. For this case, we will consider it in the future.

Firstly, we solve the Riemann problem of (1.1) and (1.6) with initial data

$$(u, \rho)(0, x) = \begin{cases} (u_-, \rho_-), & x < 0, \\ (u_+, \rho_+), & x > 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.8}$$

where $(u_\pm, \rho_\pm)$ are arbitrary constants and $u_\pm > 0, \rho_\pm > 0$. Since one eigenvalue is genuinely nonlinear and the other is linearly degenerate, the elementary waves consist of rarefaction wave (R), shock wave (S) and contact discontinuity (J). The curves of elementary waves divide the phase plane into four domains. By the phase plane analysis method, we establish the existence and uniqueness of Riemann solutions including two different structures $R + J$ and $S + J$. Then, it is shown that, as $A, B \to 0$, when $u_+ < u_-$, the Riemann solution $S + J$ converges to a special delta shock solution, whose propagation speed and strength are different from that of the transport equations. Besides, it is also shown that when $u_+ > u_-$, the Riemann solution $R + J$ tends to a two-contact-discontinuity solution to transport equations, and the nonvacuum intermediate state between R and J tends to a vacuum state.

Secondly, we solve the Riemann problem (1.7) and (1.8). The elementary waves contain the backward (forward) rarefaction wave $\overleftarrow{R}$ ($\overrightarrow{R}$) and backward (forward) shock wave $\overleftarrow{S}$ ($\overrightarrow{S}$). These curves of elementary waves divide the phase plane into four regions. With the phase plane analysis, we obtain four kinds of Riemann solutions: $\overleftarrow{R}\,\overrightarrow{R}$, $\overleftarrow{R}\,\overrightarrow{S}$, $\overleftarrow{S}\,\overrightarrow{R}$, $\overleftarrow{S}\,\overrightarrow{S}$. Then, we prove that when $u_+ < u_-$ and $A, B \to 0$, the Riemann solution containing two shock waves exactly converges to a delta shock solution to the transport equations. We also prove that, when $u_+ > u_-$ and $A, B \to 0$, the Riemann solution containing two rarefaction waves tends to a two-contact-discontinuity solution to the transport equations, and the nonvacuum intermediate state between the two rarefaction waves tends to a vacuum state.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we review the Riemann solutions of (1.4) and (1.8). Section 3 solves the Riemann problem (1.1), (1.6) and (1.8). Sections 4 and 5 investigate the limits of solutions of (1.1), (1.6) and (1.8). In Section 6, we solve the

Riemann problem (1.7) and (1.8). Sections 7 and 8 analyze the limits of solutions of (1.7) and (1.8).

2. Delta-shocks and vacuums for the transport equations

For completeness, this section briefly recalls delta shock waves and vacuum states in the Riemann solutions to the transport equations (1.4), see [8] for more details.

System (1.4) has a double eigenvalue $\lambda = u$ with the associated eigenvector $r = (0,1)^T$ satisfying $\nabla\lambda \cdot r \equiv 0$, which means the system (1.4) is nonstrictly hyperbolic and λ linearly degenerate.

Consider the Riemann problem (1.4) and (1.8). By seeking self-similar solution $(u,\rho)(t,x) = (u,\rho)(\xi)$ $(\xi = x/t)$, it is easy to find that, besides the constant state and singular solution $u = \xi, \rho = 0$ (called vacuum states), the elementary waves of (1.4) are nothing but the contact discontinuity. The Riemann problem (1.4) and (1.8) can be solved by two cases.

For the case $u_- < u_+$, the solution includes two contact discontinuities and a vacuum state besides constant states. That is,

$$(u,\rho)(\xi) = \begin{cases} (u_-,\rho_-), & -\infty < \xi \le u_-, \\ (\xi, 0), & u_- < \xi < u_+, \\ (u_+,\rho_+), & u_+ \le \xi < +\infty. \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

For the case $u_- > u_+$, the solution is a delta-shock wave type one.

In order to define the measure solution, the weighted δ-function $w(s)\delta_S$ supported on a smooth curve S parameterized as $t = t(s)$, $x = x(s)(c \le s \le d)$ can be defined by

$$\langle w(t(s))\delta_S, \varphi(t(s),x(s))\rangle = \int_c^d w(t(s))\varphi(t(s),x(s))\sqrt{x'(s)^2 + t'(s)^2}ds \tag{2.2}$$

for all test functions $\varphi(t,x) \in C_0^\infty(R^+ \times R)$.

With this definition, a delta-shock solution of (1.4) can be expressed as

$$\rho(t,x) = \rho_0(t,x) + w(t)\delta_S, \quad u(t,x) = u_0(t,x), \tag{2.3}$$

where $S = \{(t,\sigma t) : 0 \le t < \infty\}$,

$$\rho_0(t,x) = \rho_- + [\rho]\chi(x-\sigma t), u_0(t,x) = u_- + [u]\chi(x-\sigma t), w(t) = \frac{t}{\sqrt{1+\sigma^2}}(\sigma[\rho] - [\rho u]), \tag{2.4}$$

in which $[g] = g_+ - g_-$ denotes the jump of function g across the discontinuity, σ is the velocity of the delta-shock, and $\chi(x)$ the characteristic function that is 0 when $x < 0$ and 1 when $x > 0$.

As shown in [8], for any $\varphi(t,x) \in C_0^\infty(R^+ \times R)$, the delta-shock solution constructed above satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \rho, \varphi_t\rangle + \langle \rho u, \varphi_x\rangle = 0, \\ &\langle \rho u, \varphi_t\rangle + \langle \rho u^2, \varphi_x\rangle = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \rho, \varphi \rangle &= \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \rho_0 \varphi dx dt + \langle w\delta_S, \varphi \rangle, \\ \langle \rho u, \varphi \rangle &= \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \rho_0 u_0 \varphi dx dt + \langle \sigma w\delta_S, \varphi \rangle.\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Under the above definitions, the generalized Rankine-Hugoniot relation reads

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{dx}{dt} = \sigma, \\ \dfrac{d\big(w(t)\sqrt{1+\sigma^2}\big)}{dt} = \sigma[\rho] - [\rho u], \\ \dfrac{d\big(w(t)\sigma\sqrt{1+\sigma^2}\big)}{dt} = \sigma[\rho u] - [\rho u^2], \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

which reflects the relationships among the location, weight and propagation speed of the delta shock wave.

To guarantee the uniqueness, the entropy condition is supplemented as

$$u_+ < \sigma < u_-, \tag{2.8}$$

which means that all the characteristic lines on both sides of the discontinuity are not outgoing. So it is a overcompressive shock wave.

Solving the equations (2.7) with initial data $x(0) = 0$ and $w(0) = 0$ under the entropy condition (2.8) yields

$$\sigma = \frac{\sqrt{\rho_+}u_+ + \sqrt{\rho_-}u_-}{\sqrt{\rho_+} + \sqrt{\rho_-}} \quad \text{and} \quad w(t) = \frac{\sqrt{\rho_+\rho_-}(u_- - u_+)t}{\sqrt{1+\sigma^2}}. \tag{2.9}$$

Therefore, a delta shock solution defined by (2.3) with (2.4) and (2.9) is obtained.

3. Solutions of Riemann problem (1.1), (1.6) and (1.8)

In this section, we solve the elementary waves and construct the solutions of Riemann problem (1.1), (1.6) and (1.8).

For any $A, B > 0$, the system has two eigenvalues

$$\lambda_1 = u - A\rho - \frac{B\alpha}{\rho^\alpha}, \quad \lambda_2 = u \tag{3.1}$$

with right eigenvectors

$$r_1 = (-A - \frac{B\alpha}{\rho^{1+\alpha}}, 1)^T, \quad r_2 = (0, 1)^T$$

satisfying

$$\nabla\lambda_1 \cdot r_1 = -2A - \frac{(1-\alpha)B\alpha}{\rho^{1+\alpha}} < 0, \quad \nabla\lambda_2 \cdot r_2 \equiv 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Thus this system is strictly hyperbolic. The first characteristic is genuinely nonlinear and the associated wave is either shock wave or rarefaction wave. The second is linearly degenerate and the associated wave is the contact discontinuity.

Performing the self-similar transformation $x/t = \xi$, we reach the following boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -\xi\rho_\xi + (\rho u)_\xi = 0, \\ -\xi\big(\rho(u + A\rho - \dfrac{B}{\rho^\alpha})\big)_\xi + \big(\rho u(u + A\rho - \dfrac{B}{\rho^\alpha})\big)_\xi = 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$(u, \rho)(\pm\infty) = (u_\pm, \rho_\pm). \tag{3.4}$$

For any smooth solution, (3.3) is equivalent to

$$\begin{pmatrix} \rho & u - \xi \\ u - A\rho - \dfrac{B\alpha}{\rho^\alpha} - \xi & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} du \\ d\rho \end{pmatrix} = 0, \tag{3.5}$$

which provides either the general solution (constant state)

$$(u, \rho)(\xi) = constant, \tag{3.6}$$

or rarefaction wave, which is a wave of the first characteristic family,

$$R: \begin{cases} \xi = \lambda_1 = u - A\rho - \dfrac{\alpha B}{\rho^\alpha}, \\ u = -A\rho + \dfrac{B}{\rho^\alpha} + u_- + A\rho_- - \dfrac{B}{\rho_-^\alpha}, \quad \rho < \rho_-. \end{cases} \tag{3.7}$$

For a bounded discontinuity at $\xi = \sigma^{AB}$, the Rankine-Hugoniot relation

$$\begin{cases} -\sigma^{AB}[\rho] + [\rho u] = 0, \\ -\sigma^{AB}\Big[\rho(u + A\rho - \dfrac{B}{\rho^\alpha})\Big] + \Big[\rho u(u + A\rho - \dfrac{B}{\rho^\alpha})\Big] = 0 \end{cases} \tag{3.8}$$

holds. By solving (3.8) and using the Lax entropy inequalities, we obtain the shock wave, which is a wave of the first characteristic family,

$$S: \begin{cases} \sigma_1^{AB} = u - \dfrac{B}{\rho^\alpha} - A\rho_- - B\dfrac{(\rho_-^{1-\alpha} - \rho^{1-\alpha})}{\rho - \rho_-}, \\ u = -A\rho + \dfrac{B}{\rho^\alpha} + u_- + A\rho_- - \dfrac{B}{\rho_-^\alpha}, \qquad \rho > \rho_-. \end{cases} \tag{3.9}$$

Besides, from (3.5) or (3.8), one can easily get the contact discontinuity, which is a wave of the second characteristic family,

$$J: \ \sigma_2^{AB} = u = u_-, \qquad \rho \lessgtr \rho_-. \tag{3.10}$$

In the first quadrant of the (u, ρ)-plane, the contact discontinuity line $u = u_-$ is a straight one paralleling with the ρ-axis. The rarefaction wave and the shock wave curves have the same expression $u = -A\rho + \frac{B}{\rho^\alpha} + u_- + A\rho_- - \frac{B}{\rho_-^\alpha}$, which means the system belongs to the Temple type [31]. Due to $u_\rho = -A - \frac{B\alpha}{\rho^{1+\alpha}} < 0$ and $u_{\rho\rho} = \frac{B\alpha(1+\alpha)}{\rho^{2+\alpha}} > 0$, the two curves are

monotonic decreasing and convex. Moreover, it can be verified that $\lim_{\rho\to 0^+} u = +\infty$, which implies that the rarefaction wave curve has the u-axis as the asymptote. It also can be proved that $\lim_{\rho\to+\infty} u = -\infty$, which implies the shock wave curve intersects with the ρ-axis at some point. Fixing a left state (u_-, ρ_-), the phase plane can be divided into four regions by the wave curves, denoted by $I(u_-, \rho_-)$, $II(u_-, \rho_-)$, $III(u_-, \rho_-)$ and $IV(u_-, \rho_-)$, respectively (see Fig. 1).



Fig.1. Curves of elementary waves.

Now, with phase plane analysis, according to the right state (u_+, ρ_+) in the different regions, one can get two kinds of configurations of solutions:

①. $(u_+, \rho_+) \in I(u_-, \rho_-) \cup II(u_-, \rho_-) : R + J$,

②. $(u_+, \rho_+) \in III(u_-, \rho_-) \cup IV(u_-, \rho_-) : S + J$.

4. Formation of delta-shocks in solutions of (1.1), (1.6) and (1.8)

This section analyzes the limits as $A, B \to 0$ of the Riemann solutions of (1.1) with (1.6) and (1.8) when $u_+ < u_-$. There are two cases $(u_+, \rho_+) \in IV(u_-, \rho_-)$ and $(u_+, \rho_+) \in III(u_-, \rho_-)$ to be considered.

We first discuss the case $(u_+, \rho_+) \in IV(u_-, \rho_-)$.

4.1. Limit behaviour of the Riemann solutions as $A, B \to 0$

For any fixed $A, B > 0$, when $u_+ < u_-$ and $(u_+, \rho_+) \in IV(u_-, \rho_-)$, the solution of Riemann problem (1.1), (1.6) and (1.8) is, besides two constant states, a shock wave S followed by a contact discontinuity J with the intermediate state (u_*^{AB}, ρ_*^{AB}). They have the following relations

$$S: \begin{cases} \sigma_1^{AB} = u_*^{AB} - \dfrac{B}{(\rho_*^{AB})^\alpha} - A\rho_- - B\dfrac{(\rho_-^{1-\alpha} - (\rho_*^{AB})^{1-\alpha})}{\rho_*^{AB} - \rho_-}, \\ u_*^{AB} = -A\rho_*^{AB} + \dfrac{B}{(\rho_*^{AB})^\alpha} + u_- + A\rho_- - \dfrac{B}{(\rho_-)^\alpha}, \qquad \rho_*^{AB} > \rho_-, \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$J: \sigma_2^{AB} = u_*^{AB} = u_+, \qquad \rho_*^{AB} > \rho_+, \tag{4.2}$$

Then we have the following lemmas.

Lemma 4.1. $\lim\limits_{A,B\to 0}\rho_*^{AB}=+\infty$.

Proof. Suppose that $\lim\limits_{A,B\to 0}\rho_*^{AB}=a\in(\max(\rho_-,\rho_+),+\infty)$. It follows from (4.1) and (4.2) that

$$(\rho_*^{AB})^\alpha u_+=-A(\rho_*^{AB})^{1+\alpha}+B+(u_-+A\rho_--\frac{B}{\rho_-^\alpha})(\rho_*^{AB})^\alpha,\quad \rho_*^{AB}>\rho_-. \tag{4.3}$$

Letting $A,B\to 0$ in (4.3), one can get $u_+=u_-$, which contradicts $u_+<u_-$. Therefore, Lemma 4.1 holds.

Lemma 4.2. $\lim\limits_{A,B\to 0}\sigma_1^{AB}=\lim\limits_{A,B\to 0}\sigma_2^{AB}=u_+$.

Proof. From (4.1), (4.2) and Lemma 4.1, it is immediate that

$$\lim_{A,B\to 0}\sigma_1^{AB}=\lim_{A,B\to 0}u_*^{AB}=u_+.$$

Lemmas 4.1-4.2 show that when A and B drop to zero, S and J coincide, the intermediate density ρ_*^{AB} becomes singular.

From (4.1), (4.2) and Lemmas 4.1-4.2, we have

Lemma 4.3. $\lim\limits_{A,B\to 0}\rho_*^{AB}(\sigma_2^{AB}-\sigma_1^{AB})=\rho_-(u_--u_+)$.

4.2. Weighted delta shock waves

Now, we give the theorem presenting the limit behaviour of Riemann solutions of (1.1), (1.6) and (1.8) as $A,B\to 0$ for the case $u_+<u_-$ and $(u_+,\rho_+)\in IV(u_-,\rho_-)$.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $u_+<u_-$ and $(u_+,\rho_+)\in IV(u_-,\rho_-)$. Assume $(u^{AB},\rho^{AB})(t,x)$ is the Riemann solution $S+J$ of* (1.1), (1.6) *and* (1.8) *constructed in Section 3. Then*

$$\lim_{A,B\to 0}u^{AB}(t,x)=\begin{cases}u_-, & x<u_+t,\\ u_+, & x=u_+t,\\ u_+, & x>u_+t,\end{cases}$$

$\rho^{AB}(t,x)$ converges in the sense of distributions, and the limit is the sum of a step function and a δ-function supported on $x=u_+t$ with the weight $\dfrac{t}{\sqrt{1+u_+^2}}(\rho_-(u_--u_+))$.

The proof of Theorem 4.4 is similar to that of Theorem 7.4 below, here we omit it.

Remark 4.5. From Theorem 4.4, it can be seen that the propagation speed and strength of the delta shock wave in the limit are different from that of the transport equations solved in [8]. For the limit solution of (1.1), (1.6) and (1.8), the characteristics on the right side of the delta shock wave parallel to it while the characteristics on the left side of it come into the delta shock wave. Thus, this limit of solution of (1.1), (1.6) and (1.8) is not the entropy solution of the transport equations since (2.8) fails.

Especially, if we replace (2.8) by the special δ-entropy condition: $u_+ = \sigma_0 < u_-$. Then, when $u_+ < u_-$ and $(u_+, \rho_+) \in IV(u_-, \rho_-)$, the limit of the Riemann solution of (1.1), (1.6) and (1.8) is

$$\begin{cases} x(t) = \sigma_0 t = u_+ t, \\ w_0(t) = \dfrac{t}{\sqrt{1+u_+^2}}(\rho_-(u_- - u_+)), \end{cases}$$

which satisfies the generalized Rankine-Hugoniot condition (2.7) and is also the entropy solution to the transport equations under the special δ-entropy condition.

Then we are in the position to consider the case $(u_+, \rho_+) \in III(u_-, \rho_-)$.

Lemma 4.6. *Let $u_+ < u_-$, for any fixed (u_+, ρ_+) satisfying $(u_+, \rho_+) \in III(u_-, \rho_-)$, there exist A_0, such that $(u_+, \rho_+) \in IV(u_-, \rho_-)$ when $0 < A < A_0$ and $0 < B < A_0$.*

Proof. When $u_+ < u_-$, for any fixed (u_+, ρ_+), $(u_+, \rho_+) \in III(u_-, \rho_-)$ is equivalent to

$$u_+ + A\rho_+ - \frac{B}{\rho_+^\alpha} > u_- + A\rho_- - \frac{B}{\rho_-^\alpha}, \quad \rho_+ > \rho_-, \tag{4.4}$$

and $(u_+, \rho_+) \in IV(u_-, \rho_-)$ is equivalent to

$$u_+ + A\rho_+ - \frac{B}{\rho_+^\alpha} < u_- + A\rho_- - \frac{B}{\rho_-^\alpha}. \tag{4.5}$$

Combining (4.4) with (4.5), we set $A = B = A_0$ and solve the equation

$$u_+ + A_0\rho_+ - \frac{A_0}{\rho_+^\alpha} = u_- + A_0\rho_- - \frac{A_0}{\rho_-^\alpha} \tag{4.6}$$

to get

$$A_0 = \frac{u_- - u_+}{(\rho_+ - \rho_+^{-\alpha}) - (\rho_- - \rho_-^{-\alpha})}. \tag{4.7}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.6.

From the analysis above, we can obtain the following theorem which is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.4 and Lemma 4.6.

Theorem 4.7. *Let $u_+ < u_-$ and $(u_+, \rho_+) \in III(u_-, \rho_-)$. Then there exist $A_0 > 0$, when $A > A_0$ and $B > A_0$, there is no delta shock wave in solutions. When $0 < A < A_0$ and $0 < B < A_0$, $(u_+, \rho_+) \in IV(u_-, \rho_-)$, then letting $A, B \to 0$, the limit is a delta shock solution which is the same as that in Theorem 4.4.*

5. Formation of vacuums in solutions of (1.1), (1.6) and (1.8)

In this section, we turn to the limit as $A, B \to 0$ of the Riemann solutions of (1.1), (1.6) and (1.8) when $u_+ > u_-$. There are also two cases: $(u_+, \rho_+) \in I(u_-, \rho_-)$ and $(u_+, \rho_+) \in II(u_-, \rho_-)$.

First of all, we consider the case $(u_+, \rho_+) \in I(u_-, \rho_-)$.

For any fixed $A, B > 0$, when $u_+ > u_-$ and $(u_+, \rho_+) \in I(u_-, \rho_-)$, the solution of Riemann problem (1.1), (1.6) and (1.8) is a rarefaction wave R followed by a contact discontinuity J with the intermediate state (u_*^{AB}, ρ_*^{AB}), besides two constant states. Thus we have

$$u_*^{AB} = -A\rho_*^{AB} + \frac{B}{(\rho_*^{AB})^\alpha} + u_- + A\rho_- - \frac{B}{\rho_-^\alpha}, \quad \rho_*^{AB} < \rho_- \tag{5.1}$$

on R, and

$$\sigma_2^{AB} = u_*^{AB} = u_+, \quad \rho_*^{AB} < \rho_+ \tag{5.2}$$

on J.

Then ρ_*^{AB} satisfies

$$u_+ = -A\rho_*^{AB} + \frac{B}{(\rho_*^{AB})^\alpha} + u_- + A\rho_- - \frac{B}{\rho_-^\alpha}, \quad \rho_*^{AB} < \rho_-. \tag{5.3}$$

Now, we can conclude the following result.

Theorem 5.1. *When $u_+ > u_-$ and $(u_+, \rho_+) \in I(u_-, \rho_-)$, the vacuum state occurs as $A, B \to 0$. And the rarefaction wave R and contact discontinuity J become two contact discontinuities connecting the constant states $(u_\pm, \rho_\pm)$ and the vacuum $(\rho = 0)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\lim\limits_{A,B\to 0} \rho_*^{AB} = b \in (0, \min(\rho_-, \rho_+))$. Taking the limit $A, B \to 0$ in (5.3), we have $u_+ = u_-$, which contradicts with $u_+ > u_-$. We thus obtain that $\lim\limits_{A,B\to 0} \rho_*^{AB} = 0$.

Besides, we can find that $\lim\limits_{A,B\to 0} \lambda_1(u_-, \rho_-) = u_-$. It means that the rarefaction wave R tends to a contact discontinuity $\xi = x/t = u_-$ when $A, B \to 0$.

In summary, in this case, the Riemann solution $R + J$ tends to a two-contact-discontinuity solution to the transport equations (1.4) with the same Riemann data (1.8), and the nonvacuum state between R and J becomes a vacuum state when $A, B \to 0$.

We proceed to study the case $(u_+, \rho_+) \in II(u_-, \rho_-)$. Similarly to Lemma 4.6, we have

Lemma 5.2. *Let $u_+ > u_-$, for any fixed (u_+, ρ_+) satisfying $(u_+, \rho_+) \in II(u_-, \rho_-)$, there exist $\overline{A_0}$ such that $(u_+, \rho_+) \in I(u_-, \rho_-)$ when $0 < A < \overline{A_0}$ and $0 < B < \overline{A_0}$.*

Proof. When $u_+ > u_-$, for any fixed (u_+, ρ_+), $(u_+, \rho_+) \in II(u_-, \rho_-)$ is equivalent to

$$u_+ + A\rho_+ - \frac{B}{\rho_+^\alpha} < u_- + A\rho_- - \frac{B}{\rho_-^\alpha}, \quad \rho_+ < \rho_-,$$

and $(u_+, \rho_+) \in I(u_-, \rho_-)$ is equivalent to

$$u_+ + A\rho_+ - \frac{B}{\rho_+^\alpha} > u_- + A\rho_- - \frac{B}{\rho_-^\alpha}.$$

Setting $A = B = \overline{A_0}$ and solving the same equation (4.6), one can get $\overline{A_0}$ which has the same expression with A_0 shown in (4.7). This completes the proof of Lemma 5.2.

Combining Theorem 5.1 with Lemma 5.2, we have the following result.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $u_+ > u_-$ and $(u_+, \rho_+) \in II(u_-, \rho_-)$. Then there exist $\overline{A_0}$, when $A > \overline{A_0}$ and $B > \overline{A_0}$, there is no vacuum in solutions. When $0 < A < \overline{A_0}$ and $0 < B < \overline{A_0}$,*

$(u_+, \rho_+) \in I(u_-, \rho_-)$, *then taking* $A, B \to 0$, *the vacuum state occurs which is the same as that in Theorem 5.1.*

In Sections 6-8 below, we solve the Riemann problem of the perturbed Aw-Rasde model (1.7) and study the limit behaviour of the Riemann solutions of (1.7) as $A, B \to 0$.

6. Solutions of Riemann problem (1.7) and (1.8)

In this section, we solve the Riemann problem (1.7) and (1.8). For any fixed $A, B > 0$, the system has two eigenvalues

$$\overline{\lambda_1} = u - \sqrt{u(A\rho + \frac{B\alpha}{\rho^\alpha})}\,, \quad \overline{\lambda_2} = u + \sqrt{u(A\rho + \frac{B\alpha}{\rho^\alpha})} \tag{6.1}$$

with right eigenvectors

$$\overline{r_1} = \left(-\frac{\sqrt{u(A\rho + \frac{B\alpha}{\rho^\alpha})}}{\rho}, 1 \right)^T, \quad \overline{r_2} = \left(\frac{\sqrt{u(A\rho + \frac{B\alpha}{\rho^\alpha})}}{\rho}, 1 \right)^T$$

satisfying $\nabla\overline{\lambda_i} \cdot \overline{r_i} \neq 0$ $(i = 1, 2)$ for $u > 0$ and $\Big(3A\rho + \frac{B\alpha}{\rho^\alpha}(2-\alpha)\Big)\sqrt{u} \neq (A\rho + \frac{B\alpha}{\rho^\alpha})^{\frac{3}{2}}$. Thus this system is strictly hyperbolic and both the characteristic fields are genuinely nonlinear in the phase plane $(u > 0, \rho > 0)$.

Seeking the self-similar solution, we obtain the following boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -\xi\rho_\xi + (\rho u)_\xi = 0, \\ -\xi\left(\rho\Big(u + \dfrac{A}{2}\rho - \dfrac{1}{1-\alpha}\dfrac{B}{\rho^\alpha}\Big)\right)_\xi + \left(\rho u\Big(u + A\rho - \dfrac{B}{\rho^\alpha}\Big)\right)_\xi = 0, \end{cases} \tag{6.2}$$

and (3.4).

For any smooth solution, (6.2) is equivalent to

$$D \begin{pmatrix} du \\ d\rho \end{pmatrix} = 0, \tag{6.3}$$

where

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} \rho & -\xi + u \\ -\xi\rho + 2\rho u + A\rho^2 - \dfrac{B}{\rho^{1-\alpha}} & -\xi(u + A\rho - \dfrac{B}{\rho^\alpha}) + u^2 + 2A\rho u - \dfrac{B(1-\alpha)u}{\rho^\alpha} \end{pmatrix},$$

which provides either the constant state or the backward rarefaction wave

$$\overleftarrow{R}(u_-, \rho_-): \begin{cases} \xi = \overline{\lambda_1} = u - \sqrt{u(A\rho + \dfrac{B\alpha}{\rho^\alpha})}, \\ \sqrt{u} - \sqrt{u_-} = -\dfrac{1}{2}\displaystyle\int_{\rho_-}^{\rho} \frac{\sqrt{As + \frac{B\alpha}{s^\alpha}}}{s} ds, \end{cases} \tag{6.4}$$

or the forward rarefaction wave

$$\overrightarrow{R}(u_-,\rho_-):\quad\begin{cases}\xi=\overline{\lambda_2}=u+\sqrt{u(A\rho+\dfrac{B\alpha}{\rho^\alpha})},\\[2ex]\sqrt{u}-\sqrt{u_-}=\dfrac{1}{2}\displaystyle\int_{\rho_-}^{\rho}\frac{\sqrt{As+\frac{B\alpha}{s^\alpha}}}{s}ds.\end{cases}\tag{6.5}$$

For the backward rarefaction wave, by differentiating u with respect to ρ in the second equation of (6.4), it follows that $u_\rho=\frac{-\sqrt{u(A\rho+\frac{B\alpha}{\rho^\alpha})}}{\rho}<0$. For the forward rarefaction wave, it is easy to see that $u_\rho=\frac{\sqrt{u(A\rho+\frac{B\alpha}{\rho^\alpha})}}{\rho}>0$.

Through differentiating ξ with respect to ρ and u in the first equation of (6.4) and noticing $u_\rho=\frac{u_\xi}{\rho_\xi}$, we have

$$1=\left(1-\frac{\sqrt{A\rho+\frac{B\alpha}{\rho^\alpha}}}{2u}+\frac{A\rho-\frac{B\alpha^2}{\rho^\alpha}}{2(A\rho+\frac{B\alpha}{\rho^\alpha})}\right)u_\xi.\tag{6.6}$$

Since

$$\frac{A\rho-\frac{B\alpha^2}{\rho^\alpha}}{2(A\rho+\frac{B\alpha}{\rho^\alpha})}=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\frac{B\alpha}{\rho^\alpha}+\frac{B\alpha^2}{\rho^\alpha}}{2(A\rho+\frac{B\alpha}{\rho^\alpha})}\quad\text{and}\quad 0<\frac{\frac{B\alpha}{\rho^\alpha}+\frac{B\alpha^2}{\rho^\alpha}}{2(A\rho+\frac{B\alpha}{\rho^\alpha})}<1,\tag{6.7}$$

we have $u_\xi>0$ from (6.6) for A,B sufficiently small, so the set (u,ρ) which can be connected to (u_-,ρ_-) by the backward rarefaction wave is made up of the half-branch of $\overleftarrow{R}(u_-,\rho_-)$ with $u\geq u_-$.

Similarly, for the forward rarefaction wave, we have $u_\xi>0$ for A,B sufficiently small, and the set (u,ρ) which can be joined to (u_-,ρ_-) by the forward rarefaction wave is made up of the half-branch of $\overrightarrow{R}(u_-,\rho_-)$ with $u\geq u_-$.

Taking the limit $\rho\to 0$ in the second equation of (6.4), it follows that

$$\lim_{\rho\to 0}\sqrt{u}=\sqrt{u_-}+\frac{1}{2}\int_0^{\rho_-}\frac{\sqrt{As+\frac{B\alpha}{s^\alpha}}}{s}ds.\tag{6.8}$$

Since $\lim\limits_{s\to 0}\left(s^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}\frac{\sqrt{As+\frac{B\alpha}{s^\alpha}}}{s}\right)=\sqrt{B\alpha}$, the integral $\int_0^{\rho_-}\frac{\sqrt{As+\frac{B\alpha}{s^\alpha}}}{s}ds$ is divergent owing to Cauchy criterion for integral of an unbounded function. Thus, for the backward rarefaction wave, from (6.8) one can get $\lim\limits_{\rho\to 0}u=+\infty$.

Performing the limit $\rho\to+\infty$ in the second equation of (6.5) yields

$$\lim_{\rho\to+\infty}\sqrt{u}=\sqrt{u_-}+\frac{1}{2}\int_{\rho_-}^{+\infty}\frac{\sqrt{As+\frac{B\alpha}{s^\alpha}}}{s}ds.\tag{6.9}$$

Since

$$\int_{\rho_-}^{+\infty}\frac{\sqrt{As+\frac{B\alpha}{s^\alpha}}}{s}ds>\int_{\rho_-}^{+\infty}\frac{\sqrt{As}}{s}ds=+\infty.\tag{6.10}$$

Thus, for the forward rarefaction wave, we have $\lim\limits_{\rho\to+\infty}u=+\infty$ from (6.9) according to comparison test for infinite integral.

For a bounded discontinuity at $\xi = \overline{\sigma}^{AB}$, the Rankine-Hugoniot relation

$$\begin{cases} -\overline{\sigma}^{AB}[\rho] + [\rho u] = 0, \\ -\overline{\sigma}^{AB}[\rho u + \dfrac{A}{2}\rho^2 - \dfrac{B}{1-\alpha}\rho^{1-\alpha}] + [\rho u^2 + A\rho^2 u - B\rho^{1-\alpha}u] = 0 \end{cases} \tag{6.11}$$

holds.

Eliminating $\overline{\sigma}^{AB}$ from (6.11), we obtain

$$(u_r - u_l)^2 = E_1(u_l, \rho_l, u_r, \rho_r, A, B), \tag{6.12}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E_1(u_l, \rho_l, u_r, \rho_r, A, B) &= \frac{A}{2\rho_l}\rho_r^2 u_r + \frac{\alpha B}{(1-\alpha)\rho_l}\rho_r^{1-\alpha}u_r + \frac{A}{2\rho_r}\rho_l^2 u_l + \frac{\alpha B}{(1-\alpha)\rho_r}\rho_l^{1-\alpha}u_l \\ &-A\rho_r(u_r - \frac{1}{2}u_l) - \frac{B}{\rho_l^\alpha}(\frac{1}{(1-\alpha)}u_r - u_l) + A\rho_l(\frac{1}{2}u_r - u_l) + \frac{B}{\rho_r^\alpha}(u_r - \frac{1}{1-\alpha}u_l). \end{aligned} \tag{6.13}$$

It is easy to check that $E_1(u_l, \rho_l, u_r, \rho_r, A, B) > 0$.

Using the Lax entropy inequalities, one can get the backward shock wave satisfies

$$\overline{\sigma}^{AB} < \overline{\lambda_1}(u_l, \rho_l), \quad \overline{\lambda_1}(u_r, \rho_r) < \overline{\sigma}^{AB} < \overline{\lambda_2}(u_r, \rho_r), \tag{6.14}$$

and the forward shock wave satisfies

$$\overline{\lambda_1}(u_l, \rho_l) < \overline{\sigma}^{AB} < \overline{\lambda_2}(u_l, \rho_l), \quad \overline{\lambda_2}(u_r, \rho_r) < \overline{\sigma}^{AB}. \tag{6.15}$$

Then, from (6.14), we can obtain that the following inequality holds for backward shock wave

$$\frac{-\sqrt{u_r(A\rho_r + \frac{B\alpha}{\rho_r^\alpha})}}{\rho_l} < \frac{u_r - u_l}{\rho_r - \rho_l} < \frac{-\sqrt{u_l(A\rho_l + \frac{B\alpha}{\rho_l^\alpha})}}{\rho_r}. \tag{6.16}$$

Associating with λ_1, (6.16) implies that $\rho_l < \rho_r$ and $u_r < u_l$. In a analogous way, for the forward shock wave, we can deduce that $\rho_l > \rho_r$ and $u_r < u_l$ from (6.15).

Now, given a left state (u_-, ρ_-), we have the following backward shock wave curve

$$\overleftarrow{S}(u_-, \rho_-): \ u - u_- = -\sqrt{E_1(u_-, \rho_-, u, \rho, A, B)}, \quad \rho > \rho_-, \tag{6.17}$$

and the forward shock wave curve

$$\overrightarrow{S}(u_-, \rho_-): \ u - u_- = -\sqrt{E_1(u_-, \rho_-, u, \rho, A, B)}, \quad \rho < \rho_-. \tag{6.18}$$

For the backward shock wave, through differentiating u with respect to ρ in (6.17), it follows that

$$\left(1 + \frac{E_2(u_-, \rho_-, u, \rho, A, B)}{2\sqrt{E_1(u_-, \rho_-, u, \rho, A, B)}}\right)u_\rho = \frac{-E_3(u_-, \rho_-, u, \rho, A, B)}{2\sqrt{E_1(u_-, \rho_-, u, \rho, A, B)}}, \tag{6.19}$$

where

$$E_2(u_-, \rho_-, u, \rho, A, B) = \frac{A}{2\rho_-}\rho^2 + \frac{\alpha B}{(1-\alpha)\rho_-}\rho^{1-\alpha} - A\rho - \frac{B}{(1-\alpha)\rho_-^\alpha} + \frac{A}{2}\rho_- + \frac{B}{\rho^\alpha}, \tag{6.20}$$

$$E_3(u_-,\rho_-,u,\rho,A,B)=Au(\frac{\rho}{\rho_-}-1)+\frac{\alpha Bu}{\rho^\alpha}(\frac{1}{\rho_-}-\frac{1}{\rho})+\frac{\alpha Bu_-}{(1-\alpha)\rho^2}(\rho^{1-\alpha}-\rho_-^{1-\alpha})$$
$$+\frac{A}{2}\big(1-(\frac{\rho_-}{\rho})^2\big)u_-. \tag{6.21}$$

Thus, for the backward shock wave, we have $u_\rho<0$ from (6.19) as A,B is sufficiently small. Similarly, for the forward shock wave, we can obtain $u_\rho>0$ as A,B is sufficiently small.

For the backward shock wave $\overleftarrow{S}(u_-,\rho_-)$, taking $u=0$ in (6.17) gives

$$u_-=-A\rho_-+\frac{B}{\rho_-^\alpha}+\frac{A}{2}\rho-\frac{B}{(1-\alpha)\rho^\alpha}+\frac{A}{2\rho}\rho_-^2+\frac{\alpha B}{(1-\alpha)\rho}\rho_-^{1-\alpha}. \tag{6.22}$$

Let

$$f(\rho)=-A\rho_-+\frac{B}{\rho_-^\alpha}+\frac{A}{2}\rho-\frac{B}{(1-\alpha)\rho^\alpha}+\frac{A}{2\rho}\rho_-^2+\frac{\alpha B}{(1-\alpha)\rho}\rho_-^{1-\alpha}-u_-. \tag{6.23}$$

Then $f(\rho)$ is continuous with respect to ρ and $f(\rho_-)f(+\infty)<0$. Thus, there exist $\rho_0\in[\rho_-,+\infty)$ such that $f(\rho_0)=0$, which implies that the backward shock wave curve intersects with the ρ-axis at a point. From (6.18), it is not difficult to check that $\overrightarrow{S}(u_-,\rho_-)$ has a intersection point with the ρ-axis.

From the analysis above, fixing a left state (u_-,ρ_-), the phase plane $(u,\rho>0)$ can be divided into four regions by the wave curves (see Fig. 2), denoted by $\overleftarrow{S}\,\overrightarrow{S}(u_-,\rho_-)$, $\overleftarrow{S}\,\overrightarrow{R}(u_-,\rho_-)$, $\overleftarrow{R}\,\overrightarrow{S}(u_-,\rho_-)$ and $\overleftarrow{R}\,\overrightarrow{R}(u_-,\rho_-)$, respectively.

Now, according to the right state (u_+,ρ_+) in the different regions, we can get four kinds of configurations of solutions. Particularly, when $(u_+,\rho_+)\in\overleftarrow{S}\,\overrightarrow{S}(u_-,\rho_-)$, the Riemann solution contains two shock waves and a intermediate constant states whose density may become singular as $A,B\to0$. When $(u_+,\rho_+)\in\overleftarrow{R}\,\overrightarrow{R}(u_-,\rho_-)$, the Riemann solution contains two rarefaction waves and a nonvacuum intermediate constant states that may be a vacuum state as $A,B\to0$. Since the other two regions $\overleftarrow{S}\,\overrightarrow{R}(u_-,\rho_-)$ and $\overleftarrow{R}\,\overrightarrow{S}(u_-,\rho_-)$ have empty interiors when $A,B\to0$, it suffices to study the limit process for the two cases $(u_+,\rho_+)\in\overleftarrow{S}\,\overrightarrow{S}(u_-,\rho_-)$ and $(u_+,\rho_+)\in\overleftarrow{R}\,\overrightarrow{R}(u_-,\rho_-)$.



Fig. 2. Curves of elementary waves.

7. Formation of delta-shocks in solutions of (1.7) and (1.8)

This section analyzes the limits as $A,B\to0$ of the solutions of (1.7) and (1.8) in the case $(u_+,\rho_+)\in\overleftarrow{S}\,\overrightarrow{S}(u_-,\rho_-)$ with $u_+<u_-$.

7.1. Limit behaviour of the Riemann solutions as $A, B \to 0$

As stated previously, for any fixed $A, B > 0$, since (u_-, ρ_-) and (u_*^{AB}, ρ_*^{AB}) are connected by a backward shock wave $\overleftarrow{S}$ with the propagation speed $\overline{\sigma_1}^{AB}$, (u_*^{AB}, ρ_*^{AB}) and (u_+, ρ_+) are connected by a forward shock wave $\overrightarrow{S}$ with the propagation speed $\overline{\sigma_2}^{AB}$, respectively. Thus we have

$$u_*^{AB} - u_- = -\sqrt{E_1(u_-, \rho_-, u_*^{AB}, \rho_*^{AB}, A, B)}, \qquad \rho_- < \rho_*^{AB} \tag{7.1}$$

on $\overleftarrow{S}$, and

$$u_+ - u_*^{AB} = -\sqrt{E_1(u_*^{AB}, \rho_*^{AB}, u_+, \rho_+, A, B)}, \qquad \rho_+ < \rho_*^{AB} \tag{7.2}$$

on $\overrightarrow{S}$.

Then we have the following lemmas.

Lemma 7.1. $\lim\limits_{A,B\to 0} \rho_*^{AB} = +\infty$.

The proof of this lemma is similar to that of Lemma 4.1. In fact, it follows from (7.1) and (7.2) that

$$u_+ - u_- = -\Big(\sqrt{E_1(u_-, \rho_-, u_*^{AB}, \rho_*^{AB}, A, B)} + \sqrt{E_1(u_*^{AB}, \rho_*^{AB}, u_+, \rho_+, A, B)}\Big). \tag{7.3}$$

Note that $u_+ < u_*^{AB} < u_-$. We let $A, B \to 0$ in (7.3) and employ the method of proof by contradiction to obtain the desired result.

Lemma 7.2. Set $\sigma = \dfrac{\sqrt{\rho_-}u_- + \sqrt{\rho_+}u_+}{\sqrt{\rho_-} + \sqrt{\rho_+}}$. Then

$$\lim_{A,B\to 0} u_*^{AB} = \lim_{A,B\to 0} \overline{\sigma_1}^{AB} = \lim_{A,B\to 0} \overline{\sigma_2}^{AB} = \sigma, \tag{7.4}$$

$$\lim_{A,B\to 0} A\rho_*^{AB} = 0. \tag{7.5}$$

Proof. Passing to the limit $A, B \to 0$ in (7.3) and noting Lemma 7.1, we reach

$$\lim_{A,B\to 0} \sqrt{\frac{A}{2}(\rho_*^{AB})^2 u_*^{AB} + \frac{\alpha B}{1-\alpha}(\rho_*^{AB})^{1-\alpha} u_*^{AB}} = \frac{\sqrt{\rho_-\rho_+}(u_- - u_+)}{\sqrt{\rho_-} + \sqrt{\rho_+}}. \tag{7.6}$$

Taking $A, B \to 0$ in (7.1), one can obtain that $\lim\limits_{A,B\to 0} u_*^{AB} = \sigma$.

From (6.11), $\overline{\sigma_1}^{AB}$ and $\overline{\sigma_2}^{AB}$ can be calculated by

$$\overline{\sigma_1}^{AB} = \frac{\rho_*^{AB} u_*^{AB} - \rho_- u_-}{\rho_*^{AB} - \rho_-}, \qquad \overline{\sigma_2}^{AB} = \frac{\rho_+ u_+ - \rho_*^{AB} u_*^{AB}}{\rho_+ - \rho_*^{AB}}, \tag{7.7}$$

thus one can easily check that (7.4) holds. Then (7.4) and (7.6) yield (7.5).

Combining (7.7) with Lemmas 7.1-7.2, we can obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 7.3. $\lim\limits_{A,B\to 0} \rho_*^{AB}(\overline{\sigma_2}^{AB} - \overline{\sigma_1}^{AB}) = \sigma[\rho] - [\rho u]$.

7.2. Weighted delta shock waves

Now, we show the theorem characterizing the limit as $A, B \to 0$ for the case $u_+ < u_-$ and $(u_+, \rho_+) \in \overleftarrow{S}\,\overrightarrow{S}(u_-, \rho_-)$.

Theorem 7.4. *Let $u_+ < u_-$. Assume (u^{AB}, ρ^{AB}) is a two-shock wave solution of (1.7) and (1.8) constructed in Section 6. Then, when $A, B \to 0$, ρ^{AB} and $\rho^{AB}u^{AB}$ converge in the sense of distributions, and the limit functions of ρ^{AB} and $\rho^{AB}u^{AB}$ are the sums of a step function and a δ-function with the weights*

$$\frac{t}{\sqrt{1+\sigma^2}}(\sigma[\rho]-[\rho u]) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{t}{\sqrt{1+\sigma^2}}(\sigma[\rho u]-[\rho u^2]),$$

respectively, which form a delta shock solution of (1.4) with the same Riemann data (1.8).

Proof. (i). Set $\xi = x/t$. Then for each $A, B > 0$, the Riemann solution containing $\overleftarrow{S}$ and $\overrightarrow{S}$ can be expressed as

$$(u^{AB}, \rho^{AB})(\xi) = \begin{cases} (u_-, \rho_-), & \xi < \overline{\sigma_1}^{AB}, \\ (u_*^{AB}, \rho_*^{AB}), & \overline{\sigma_1}^{AB} < \xi < \overline{\sigma_2}^{AB}, \\ (u_+, \rho_+), & \xi > \overline{\sigma_2}^{AB}, \end{cases} \tag{7.8}$$

satisfying weak formulations: For any $\phi \in C_0^1(-\infty, +\infty)$,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \rho^{AB}(u^{AB}-\xi)\phi' d\xi - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \rho^{AB}\phi d\xi = 0, \tag{7.9}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &-\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \rho^{AB}\left(u^{AB} + \frac{A}{2}\rho^{AB} - \frac{B}{(1-\alpha)(\rho^{AB})^\alpha}\right)\xi\phi' d\xi \\ &\qquad + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \rho^{AB}\left((u^{AB})^2 + A\rho^{AB}u^{AB} - \frac{B}{(\rho^{AB})^\alpha}u^{AB}\right)\phi' d\xi \qquad (7.10) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \rho^{AB}\left(u^{AB} + \frac{A}{2}\rho^{AB} - \frac{B}{(1-\alpha)(\rho^{AB})^\alpha}\right)\phi d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

(ii). Now we turn to computing the limit of $\rho^{AB}u^{AB}$ and ρ^{AB} by using the two weak formulations. The first integral on the left hand side of (7.10) can be decomposed into

$$-\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\overline{\sigma_1}^{AB}} + \int_{\overline{\sigma_1}^{AB}}^{\overline{\sigma_2}^{AB}} + \int_{\overline{\sigma_2}^{AB}}^{+\infty}\right)\left(\rho^{AB}u^{AB} + \frac{A}{2}(\rho^{AB})^2 - \frac{B}{(1-\alpha)}(\rho^{AB})^{1-\alpha}\right)\xi\phi' d\xi. \tag{7.11}$$

The limit of the sum of the first and last terms of (7.11) is

$$\begin{aligned} &-\lim_{A,B\to 0}\int_{-\infty}^{\overline{\sigma_1}^{AB}} \left(\rho^{AB}u^{AB} + \frac{A}{2}(\rho^{AB})^2 - \frac{B}{(1-\alpha)}(\rho^{AB})^{1-\alpha}\right)\xi\phi' d\xi \\ &\qquad - \lim_{A,B\to 0}\int_{\overline{\sigma_2}^{AB}}^{+\infty} \left(\rho^{AB}u^{AB} + \frac{A}{2}(\rho^{AB})^2 - \frac{B}{(1-\alpha)}(\rho^{AB})^{1-\alpha}\right)\xi\phi' d\xi \qquad (7.12) \\ &= \sigma[\rho u]\phi(\sigma) + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} H(\xi-\sigma)\phi d\xi \end{aligned}$$

with

$$H(\xi-\sigma)=\begin{cases}\rho_-u_-, & \xi<\sigma,\\ \rho_+u_+, & \xi>\sigma.\end{cases}$$

For the limit of the second term of (7.11), applying Lemmas 7.1-7.3, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}&-\lim_{A,B\to 0}\int_{\overline{\sigma_1}^{AB}}^{\overline{\sigma_2}^{AB}}\left(\rho^{AB}u^{AB}+\frac{A}{2}(\rho^{AB})^2-\frac{B}{(1-\alpha)}(\rho^{AB})^{1-\alpha}\right)\xi\phi' d\xi\\ &=-(\sigma[\rho]-[\rho u])\sigma^2\phi'(\sigma).\end{aligned}\tag{7.13}$$

Then combining (7.12) and (7.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&-\lim_{A,B\to 0}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\rho^{AB}\left(u^{AB}+\frac{A}{2}\rho^{AB}-\frac{B}{(1-\alpha)(\rho^{AB})^{\alpha}}\right)\xi\phi' d\xi\\ &=\sigma[\rho u]\phi(\sigma)-(\sigma[\rho]-[\rho u])\sigma^2\phi'(\sigma)+\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}H(\xi-\sigma)\phi d\xi.\end{aligned}\tag{7.14}$$

By computing the limit of the second integral on the left hand side of (7.10), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{A,B\to 0}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\rho^{AB}\left((u^{AB})^2+A\rho^{AB}u^{AB}-\frac{B}{(\rho^{AB})^{\alpha}}u^{AB}\right)\phi' d\xi\\ &=\lim_{A,B\to 0}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\overline{\sigma_1}^{AB}}+\int_{\overline{\sigma_1}^{AB}}^{\overline{\sigma_2}^{AB}}+\int_{\overline{\sigma_2}^{AB}}^{+\infty}\right)\rho^{AB}\left((u^{AB})^2+A\rho^{AB}u^{AB}-\frac{B}{(\rho^{AB})^{\alpha}}u^{AB}\right)\phi' d\xi\\ &=-[\rho u^2]\phi(\sigma)+(\sigma[\rho]-[\rho u])\sigma^2\phi'(\sigma).\end{aligned}\tag{7.15}$$

Substituting (7.14) and (7.15) into (7.10) yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{A,B\to 0}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\rho^{AB}\left(u^{AB}+\frac{A}{2}\rho^{AB}-\frac{B}{(1-\alpha)(\rho^{AB})^{\alpha}}\right)\phi d\xi=\lim_{A,B\to 0}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\rho^{AB}u^{AB}\phi d\xi\\ &=(\sigma[\rho u]-[\rho u^2])\phi(\sigma)+\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}H(\xi-\sigma)\phi d\xi.\end{aligned}\tag{7.16}$$

Similarly, from (7.9), one can get that

$$\lim_{A,B\to 0}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\rho^{AB}\phi d\xi=(\sigma[\rho]-[\rho u])\phi(\sigma)+\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\widetilde{H}(\xi-\sigma)\phi d\xi,\tag{7.17}$$

where

$$\widetilde{H}(\xi-\sigma)=\begin{cases}\rho_-, & \xi<\sigma,\\ \rho_+, & \xi>\sigma.\end{cases}$$

(iii). Finally, we analyze the limit of $\rho^{AB}u^{AB}$ and ρ^{AB} by tracking the time-dependence of the weights of the δ-measures as $A,B\to 0$.

Taking (7.16) into account, we have, for any $\psi \in C_0^\infty(R^+ \times R)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{A,B\to 0}\int_0^{+\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\rho^{AB}(x/t)u^{AB}(x/t)\psi(t,x)dxdt\\
&=\lim_{A,B\to 0}\int_0^{+\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\rho^{AB}(\xi)u^{AB}(\xi)\psi(t,\xi t)d(\xi t)dt\\
&=\int_0^{+\infty}(\sigma[\rho u]-[\rho u^2])t\psi(t,\sigma t)dt+\int_0^{+\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}H(x-\sigma t)\psi(t,x)dxdt,
\end{aligned} \tag{7.18}$$

in which, by the definition (2.2), we get

$$\int_0^{+\infty}(\sigma[\rho u]-[\rho u^2])t\psi(t,\sigma t)dt=\Big\langle w_1(\cdot)\delta_S,\psi(\cdot,\cdot)\Big\rangle$$

with

$$w_1(t)=\frac{t}{\sqrt{1+\sigma^2}}(\sigma[\rho u]-[\rho u^2]).$$

Similarly, we can show that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{A,B\to 0}\int_0^{+\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\rho^{AB}(x/t)\psi(t,x)dxdt\\
&=\Big\langle w_2(\cdot)\delta_S,\psi(\cdot,\cdot)\Big\rangle+\int_0^{+\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\widetilde{H}(x-\sigma t)\psi(t,x)dxdt
\end{aligned} \tag{7.19}$$

with

$$w_2(t)=\frac{t}{\sqrt{1+\sigma^2}}(\sigma[\rho]-[\rho u]).$$

The proof of Theorem 7.4 is completed.

8. Formation of vacuums in solutions of (1.7) and (1.8)

This section studies the limits of the solutions of (1.7) and (1.8) in the case $(u_+,\rho_+)\in \overleftarrow{R}\,\overrightarrow{R}(u_-,\rho_-)$ with $u_+>u_-$ as $A,B\to 0$.

In this case, the solution consists of two rarefaction waves $\overleftarrow{R}$, $\overrightarrow{R}$ and an intermediate state (u_*^{AB},ρ_*^{AB}), besides two constant states $(u_\pm,\rho_\pm)$. They satisfy

$$\overleftarrow{R}:\ \begin{cases}\xi=\overline{\lambda_1}=u-\sqrt{u(A\rho+\dfrac{B\alpha}{\rho^\alpha})},\\[2ex] \sqrt{u}-\sqrt{u_-}=-\dfrac{1}{2}\displaystyle\int_{\rho_-}^{\rho}\frac{\sqrt{As+\frac{B\alpha}{s^\alpha}}}{s}ds, \qquad \rho_*^{AB}\le\rho\le\rho_-,\end{cases} \tag{8.1}$$

and

$$\overrightarrow{R}:\ \begin{cases}\xi=\overline{\lambda_2}=u+\sqrt{u(A\rho+\dfrac{B\alpha}{\rho^\alpha})},\\[2ex] \sqrt{u_+}-\sqrt{u}=\dfrac{1}{2}\displaystyle\int_{\rho}^{\rho_+}\frac{\sqrt{As+\frac{B\alpha}{s^\alpha}}}{s}ds, \qquad \rho_*^{AB}\le\rho\le\rho_+.\end{cases} \tag{8.2}$$

We now conclude a theorem as follows.

Theorem 8.1. *When $u_+ > u_-$ and $(u_+, \rho_+) \in \overleftarrow{R}\overrightarrow{R}(u_-, \rho_-)$, the vacuum state occurs as $A, B \to 0$, and two rarefaction waves become two contact discontinuities connecting the constant states $(u_\pm, \rho_\pm)$ and the vacuum state $(\rho = 0)$.*

The proof of Theorem 8.1 is similar to that of Theorem 5.1, here we omit it.

In summary, the limit solution in this case can be expressed as (2.1), which is a solution to the transport equations containing two contact discontinuities $\xi = x/t = u_\pm$ and a vacuum state in between.

Remark. The processes of formation of delta shock waves and vacuum states can be examined with some numerical results as A and B decrease. The numerical simulations will be added to our submission soon.

References

[1] A. Aw, M. Rascle, Resurrection of "second order" models of traffic flow, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 60(2000) 916-938.

[2] C. Daganzo, Requiem for second order fluid approximations of traffic flow, Transportation Res. Part B 29(1995) 277-286.

[3] H. Zhang, A non-equilibrium traffic model devoid of gas-like behavior, Transportation Res. Part B 36(2002) 275-290.

[4] J. Lebacque, S. Mammar, H. Salem, The Aw-Rascle and Zhang's model: Vacuum problems, existence and regularity of the solutions of the Riemann problem, Transportation Res. Part B 41(2007) 710-721.

[5] C. Shen, M. Sun, Formation of delta-shocks and vacuum states in the vanishing pressure limit of solutions to the Aw-Rascle model, J. Differential Equations 249(2010) 3024-3051.

[6] F. Bouchut, On zero-pressure gas dynamics, advances in kinetic theory and computing, Series on Advances in Mathematics for Applied Sciences, World Scientific, 22(1994) 171-190.

[7] W. E, Yu.G. Rykov, Ya.G. Sinai, Generalized variational principles, global weak solutions and behavior with random initial data for systems of conservation laws arising in Ashesion particle dynamics, Comm. Math. Phys. 177(1996) 349-380.

[8] W. Sheng, T. Zhang, The Riemann problem for transportation equation in gas dynamics, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 137 (1999).

[9] D. Tan, T. Zhang, Y. Zheng, Delta shock waves as limits of vanishing viscosity for hyperbolic systems of conservation laws, J. Differential Equations 112(1994) 1-32.

[10] J. Li, T. Zhang, S. Yang, The two-dimensional Riemann problem in gas dynamics, Longman, London, 1998.

[11] H. Yang, Riemann problems for a class of coupled hyperbolic systems of conservation laws, J. Differential Equations 159(1999) 447-484.

[12] H. Yang, Y. Zhang, New developments of delta shock waves and its applications in systems of conservation laws, J. Differential Equations 252(2012) 5951-5993.

[13] H. Yang, Y. Zhang, Delta shock waves with Dirac delta function in both components for systems of conservation laws, J. Differential Equations, 2014 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2014.08.009

[14] G. Chen, H. Liu, Formation of delta-shocks and vacuum states in the vanishing pressure limit of solutions to the isentropic Euler equations, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 34(2003) 925-938.

[15] G. Chen, H. Liu, Concentration and cavitation in the vanishing pressure limit of solutions to the Euler equations for nonisentropic fluids, Phys. D 189(2004) 141-165.

[16] J. Li, Note on the compressible Euler equations with zero temperature, Appl. Math. Lett. 14 (2001) 519-523.

[17] G. Yin, W. Sheng, Delta shocks and vacuum states in vanishing pressure limits of solutions to the relativistic Euler equations for polytropic gases, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 355 (2009) 594-605.

[18] H. Yang, J. Wang, Delta-shocks and vacuum states in the vanishing pressure limit of solutions to the isentropic Euler equations for modified Chaplygin gas, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 413 (2014) 800-820.

[19] H. Yang, J. Wang, Delta-shocks and vacuums in vanishing pressure limit of solutions to the modified Chaplygin gas equations, To appear (2014).

[20] H. Cheng, H. Yang, Approaching Chaplygin pressure limit of solutions to the Aw-Rascle model, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 416 (2014) 839-854.

[21] L. Pan, X. Han, The Aw-Rascle traffic model with Chaplygin pressure, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 401(2013) 379-387.

[22] A. Kamenshchik, U. Moschella, V. Pasquier, An alternative to quintessence, Phys. Lett. B 511(2001) 265-268.

[23] M.C. Bento, O. Bertolami, A.A. Sen, Generalized Chaplygin gas, accelerated expansion, and dark-energy-matter unification, Phys. Rev. D 66(2002) 043507.

[24] S. Chaplygin, On gas jets, Sci. Mem. Moscow Univ. Math. Phys. 21(1904) 1-121.

[25] Y. Brenier, Solutions with concentration to the Riemann problem for one-dimensional Chaplygin gas dynamics, J. Math. Fluid Mech. 7(2005) S326-S331.

[26] L. Guo, W. Sheng, T. Zhang, The two-dimensinal Riemann problem for isenttropic Chaplygin gas dynamic system, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. 9(2010) 431-458.

[27] H. Cheng, H. Yang, Riemann problem for the relativistic Chaplygin Euler equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 381(2011) 17-26.

[28] H. Cheng, H. Yang, Riemann problem for the isentropic relativistic Chaplygin Euler equations, Z.Angew. Math. Phys. 63(2012) 429-440.

[29] H.B. Benaoum, Accelerated universe from modified Chaplygin gas and tachyonic fluid, http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0205140.

[30] H.B. Benaoum, Modified Chaplygin gas cosmology, http://arxiv.org/abs/1211.3518.

[31] B. Temple, Systems of conservation laws with invariant submanifolds, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 280(1983) 781-795.

[32] H. Nessyahu, E. Tadmor, Non-oscillatory central differencing for hyperbolic conservation laws, J. Comput. Phys. 87(1990) 408-463.